


Scenario modelling for advisory group to the Cabinet office (25 July 2021)


Context: The final phase of the roadmap on 19th of July is approaching. Current dynamic causal modelling suggests a slight resurgence of hospital admissions and fatality rates over the next week or two and a gradual (partial), prevalence-dependent increase in contact rates (please see the long-term forecast [dashboard](#) for current estimates – and the national [dashboard](#) for data fits upon which these estimates are based). Predictions of contact rates are based on population behaviour in response to previous fluctuations in prevalence. **What would happen if restrictions were lifted completely on 19th July 2021 – or deferred for one or two months?**

This question can be answered—using scenario modelling—by increasing the rate at which people return to a normal (pre-pandemic) contact rate on **19 July, 19 August and 19 September 2021**, for a period of two months.


Daily deaths (28 days)




Daily confirmed cases




Workplace (%)



Hospital admissions



Incidence of Long Covid



This figure reports the results of scenario modelling using the parameters of a [dynamic causal model](#) based on data covering the entire pandemic until 4 July 2021. The lines correspond to expected deaths per day, daily confirmed cases, workplace activity (based upon Google mobility data), hospital admissions and the incidence of long COVID¹. The shaded areas correspond to 90% confidence intervals. The green lines are the most likely estimates, while the black dots correspond to (smoothed) data from the [ONS](#) and [Google mobility data](#). The red lines show what might happen if restrictions are lifted on **19th July** (modelled by increasing the rate at which people return to a normal contact rate). The corresponding estimates for a deferred relaxation on **19 August** and **19 September** are shown in purple and blue, respectively. Quantitatively, the estimated excesses following complete relaxation of restrictions (relative to the predicted, prevalence-dependent, relaxation of contact rates) are as follows²:

	Total under predicted (prevalence -dependent) unlocking until 1 April 2022	Excess with unlocking on 19 July	Excess with unlocking on 19 August	Excess with unlocking on 19 Sept
Daily deaths (28 days):	139,970 (111,276 to 168,664)	2,434 (1.74%)	993 (0.71 %)	-646 (-0.46%)
Daily confirmed cases	10,522,746 (8,365,583 to 12,679,909)	1,313,594 (12.48 %)	547,564 (5.20%)	-62,476 (-59%)
Hospital admissions	622,444 (494,843 to 750,045)	27,479 (4.41 %)	9,323 (1.50 %)	-10,680 (-72%)
Long COVID cases	365,469 (290,548 to 440,390)	17,331 (4.74 %)	6,136 (1.68 %)	-5,896 (-.61%)

Conclusions

- A relaxation of restrictions on **19 July** may produce a substantial number of excess confirmed cases (12.4%) and cases of long COVID (4.7%). However, there will be **less impact** on excess deaths (1.7%) or hospital admissions (4.4%).
- Delaying unlocking by **one month** would have probably decreased hospital admissions, long COVID and fatalities by about two thirds (e.g., decreasing excess long COVID cases from about 17,000 to about 6,000). However, delaying by **two months** could **increase** both modality and morbidity – as assessed until 1 April 2022. Under the current model,

¹ The incidence of long COVID is based upon an 8% probability of developing long COVID (i.e., restrictive symptoms for 12 weeks or more), given one has clinical (i.e., symptomatic) COVID-19. This is not differentiated by age and is based upon the following prevalence estimates: [Prevalence of ongoing symptoms following coronavirus \(COVID-19\) infection in the UK - Office for National Statistics \(ons.gov.uk\)](#), [Update on long COVID-19 prevalence estimate, 1 February 2021 \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](#), [Covid-19: Third of people infected have long term symptoms | The BMJ](#). A value of 8% was chosen to emulate the ~1.5% prevalence in the above surveys.

² The confidence intervals represent uncertainty about what could have happened over the entire epidemic – as opposed to uncertainty about what will happen. These are approximate confidence intervals based upon Poisson assumptions (more accurate intervals are available on request).

this paradoxical effect is due in part to deferring a resurgence until winter, when the basic reproduction number will be greater – and the efficacy of vaccination may have waned.

These conclusions suggest a shift in focus from mortality to morbidity; i.e., a shift away from national lockdowns and restrictions towards personal mitigating behaviours, local public health measures and vaccinating groups (i.e., children) who may develop complications of COVID-19 that do not require hospitalisation.